



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,361	10/06/2000	Alexander Gaiger	210121.465C2	9832	
500 7	590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			SCHWADRON	SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
701 FIFTH AV	Œ				
SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/684,361	GAIGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS OF THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		– ⊢action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7 and 47-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 7</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date					

- 1. Claims 1,6,7,47-51 are under consideration.
- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The rejection of claims 57,59,60,63 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office action is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claims.
- 4. The rejection of claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the amended claims.
- 5. The rejection of claims 57,59,60,63 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Herlyn et al. (WO 95/29995) in view of Jager et al. (US Patent 6,096,313). Herlyn et al. for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claims.
- 6. Claims 47-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the "composition" of claim 47 or the immunogenic compositions of claims 48-51. While the specification discloses vaccines with the ingredients recited in claims 48-51(eg. non-specific immune response enhancer), there is no disclosure of non-vaccine compositions in the specification as originally filed which contain a non-specific immune response enhancer. These two terms differ in scope in that the art recognizes that a vaccine is

used for treatment of disease, including human disease, while the term immunogenic composition does not necessarily imply that the composition would be used to treat human disease. Regarding claim 47, whilst the specification discloses a pharmaceutical composition with the ingredients recited in claim 47, it does not disclose a composition per se with said ingredient. These two terms differ in scope in that the art recognizes that a pharmaceutical composition is used for treatment of disease, including human disease, while the term composition does not necessarily imply that the composition would be used to treat human disease.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the scope of the claimed invention (eg. the claimed invention constitutes new matter).

## 10. Claims 1,6 and 7 are allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1980 ( 600)

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644